FEB 0 3 ZUUB

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

"Total of

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x, OMB 0651-00x
U.S. Palent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Docket Number (Optional) PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW 1005.P001US Filed I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Application Number United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mall 09/415,295 October 8, 1999 in an envelope addressed to "Mall Stop AF, Commissioner for Palenis, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450* [37 CFR 1.8(a)] February 3, 2006 First Named Inventor Amir Ben-Efraim TAIN Art Unit Examiner 2151 Dinh, Khanh Q. Typed or printed Mary Jo Bertani name. Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/Inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. Mary Jo Bertani See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. Typed or printed name (Form PTO/SB/96) X attorney or agent of record. 949 251 0250 42,321 Registration number Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. February 3, 2006 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Date NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.

This collection of Information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submixing the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mall Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

forms are submitted.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 3 ZUU6

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Amir Ben-Efraim; Tae Hae Nahm; David Hudson

Assignee:

AT ROAD, INC.

Title:

Portable Browser Device with Voice Recognition and Feedback

Capability

Serial No.:

09/415,295

Filing Date:

October 8, 1999

Examiner:

Dinh, Khanh Q.

Group Art Unit: 2151

Docket No.:

1005.P001 US

Irvine, California February 3, 2006

MAIL STOP AF COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REMARKS IN SUPPORT OF PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Dear Sir:

This paper is being filed with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review in response to the Final Office Action dated October 6, 2005, having a shortened statutory period expiring January 6, 2006. A Petition to extend the time period for response for one (1) month is filed herewith, setting a new period for response that expires February 6, 2006. Further consideration is respectfully requested for the reasons set forth below.

KOESTNER BERTANI LLE 18662 MACARTHUR BLVD. SUITE 400 IRVINE, CA 92412 TILL (949) 231-0250 FAX (949) 231-4250

-1 of 3-

Serial No. 09/415,295 February 3, 2006

Omission Of Essential Elements Required To Establish A Prima Facie Rejection

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-18, 21, 23, and 86-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchings Jr. (hereafter Hitchings), U.S. Patent No. 6,594,484 in view of Logan *et al.* (hereafter Logan), US Pat. No. 5,732,216.

Claims 24-41, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchings and Logan and further in view of Garceran *et al.* (hereafter Garceran), U.S. Pat. No. 6,552,888.

Claims 94-96 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchings in view of Logan as item 4 in the Office Action and further in view of Garceran.

The Examiner failed to establish anticipation and/or obviousness because the cited Logan reference includes a radio link for downloading audio data, but does not include a short range radio 728, a wireless communication interface 700, and a car radio 726 as set forth in Claim 1 and shown in FIG. 7 of the present disclosure. In contrast, FIG. 1 of Logan shows a modem 115 receiving signals from Internet Service Provider 121. The client CPU 105 outputs signals from the modem to a sound card 110. The description of the Logan device teaches that the radio link (117) can be used for communication between the Internet service provider 121 and PC client player 103. (Logan, col. 6 lines 36-48). There is no component in Logan that provides a short range radio link between an audio converter (sound card 110 in Logan) and a car radio (computer player 103 in Logan). Thus, Logan does not teach or suggest all of the elements of Claim 1.

KORŞTHER BERTAMI ILP 18602 MACARTHUR ÜLYÖ SUITE 400 IRVINS, CA 95012 TEL (24) 231-0330 FAX (249) 231-0330 Claim 1 is thus allowable over Hitchings and Logan, alone and in combination for at least the foregoing reasons. Independent claims 24, 86, and 94 include features similar to those in Claim 1 that are distinguishable from the prior art. Claims 2, 4-5, 8-18, 21, and 23 depend from Claim 1, Claims 25-30, 32-42, and 43-44 depend from Claim 24, Claims 87-89

-2 of 3-

Serial No. 09/415,295 February 3, 2006 depend from Claim 86, and Claims 95-98 depend from Claim 94, and include features that further distinguish them from the prior art. Allowance of Claims 2, 4, 5, 8-18, 21, 23, 24-30, 32-42, 43, 44, 86-89, and 94-98 is respectfully requested for at least the same reasons as Claim 1.

CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks set forth herein, Applicant believes the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case for anticipation and obviousness. Applicant believes Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-18, 21, 23-30, 32-41, 43, 44, 86-89, and 94-98 are in form for allowance and a notice to that effect is solicited. In the event it would facilitate prosecution of this application, the Examiners are invited to telephone the undersigned at (949) 251-0250.

1 hereby carrify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, Central Number at (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below:

(Signature)

Mary Jo Bertaini (Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)

February 3, 2006 (Date) Respectfully submitted,

Mary Jo Bertani

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 42,321

ECESTNER DERTANI LU LESGS MACARTHUR ELVO-SUITE 400 IRVINE, CA 92612 TEL (947) 231-0230 FAX (949) 231-0260